To: USPTO

## **REMARKS**

## Paragraph 4 of the Office Action

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over Adams.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 4 and is now believed to be in condition for allowance. Claims 2 and 3, by virtue of their dependence on claim 1, are also believed to be in condition for allowance.

Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested by the applicant.

10

15

20

25

5

### Paragraph 5 of the Office Action

Claims 4-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 4 has been included into claim 1 and claims 8 and 9 have been cancelled. Remaining claims 5-7 each ultimately depend from claim 1 and are believed to be in condition for allowance.

# Paragraph 6 of the Office Action

Claim 10 appears to be allowable over the prior art of record.

### **New Claims**

New claim 11 incorporates the originally filed limitations of claims 1 and 8 and is therefore believed to be in condition for allowance. New claim 12 depends from new claim 11 and therefore is also believed to be in condition for allowance.

To: USPTO

Date: 12/11/04

DEC-13-2004 14:17 From:

## **CONCLUSION**

5

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, early consideration and allowance of this application are most courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

10

Scan A, Kaufhold (Reg. No. 46,820)

P.O. Box 131447

Carlsbad, CA 92013 15

(760) 470-3368 FAX (760) 736-8449